

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,872	03/22/2001	Lutfollah Maleki	06618-605001 / CIT 3188	6064
7:	590 12/18/2002			
SCOTT C. HARRIS			EXAMINER	
Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500			MENEFEE, JAMES A	
4350 La Jolla V San Diego, CA		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	e <sup>2</sup>	09/816,872	MALEKI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A. Menefee	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul Do			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	SHOEDA	PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
· · ·	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep					
44)□-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•		amme.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/816,872

Art Unit: 2828

: 4

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,197. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The claims of '197 are drawn to a whispering gallery mode resonator coupled to a waveguide. Such a broad whispering gallery mode resonator covers the more specific whispering gallery mode resonator of the present invention. Whispering gallery mode resonators are known to be evanescently coupled to waveguides, and the method of coupling would inherently be applicable for all whispering gallery mode resonators, including the whispering gallery mode resonator claimed in the present invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,233. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The claims

. Application/Control Number: 09/816,872

Art Unit: 2828

of '233 are drawn to a dielectric, spherical, whispering gallery mode resonator coupled to a fiber.

Such a broad whispering gallery mode resonator covers the more specific whispering gallery

mode resonator of the present invention. Whispering gallery mode resonators are known to be

evanescently coupled to fibers, and the method of coupling would inherently be applicable for all

whispering gallery mode resonators, including the whispering gallery mode resonator claimed in

the present invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The other prior art also show broader whispering gallery mode resonators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (703) 605-4367.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP

Paul Do

Page 3

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

JM

December 11, 2002